

Christine, Rob and I find this problematic. There is no context for this story. It is indecisive/wishy washy and unconvincing. (possible media questions are below.)

1. Is there marble in the house from PGI? if so, how much and has this fact been verified by the investigator or a third party. (remember, her home and photos are on MLS listing with a fair bit of counters and backsplashes visible – with a before and after comparison of the house before and after the renovation on two different listings from different time periods);
2. Her indication that this was marked for disposal is not verified by a third party and therefore, not a credible answer.
3. Has or had it been valued? Marble is highly expensive, even in small quantities.
4. Why couldn't there be a straightforward answer regarding whether there was a breach of policy? Why is it inconclusive? Does it need to be reviewed? Is the policy currently obtuse? How old is it? (BTW: 1997 is the last policy... why hasn't it been updated?)
5. Could this be deemed to be a criminal act if the value is, indeed, high?
6. If the report says that no wrongdoing has occurred, then that is the answer we need to use.
7. If this investigative report has been forwarded to the government, what is the gov't's responsibility at this point? Will it be part of the public response regarding the review?

Kim Jasper

CHIEF ADVANCEMENT OFFICER

Red River College

C712-2055 Notre Dame Ave.

Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3H 0J9

P: 204 – 631-3302 C: 204-293-0578 F: 204-694-7253

www.rrc.ca | kjasper@rrc.ca



From: Richard Lennon [mailto:richard.lennon@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2014 3:18 PM
To: Christine Payne
Cc: Kathi Gudmandson; Kimberly G Jasper; David Rew; Conor Lloyd; kknight@ictam.ca
Subject: Re: FW: Marble

Okay, here is a revised set of statements. Kim, please share with Rob Buisson. We can then share with Katie as soon as possible. Kathi, once this is a go, can you share with board members too?

Thanks,

Richard

In June 2014, the Board first became aware about a rumour circulating among about marble in the Ms. Forsyth's residence.

The board takes allegations such as these extremely seriously. We immediately asked an external investigator to see if there was any substance to this allegation.

Marble from the the PGI construction exists in Ms. Forsyth's residence and she has indicated it was material marked for disposal.

The report confirmed that the College's vendor did no installation or construction work in the President's residence. Any installation or construction work was not undertaken by the College.

The Board will be examining the College's policies regarding obsolete and waste materials to see if they can be strengthened. The board has also forwarded the report to the team undertaking the provincial review. The board at all times remains committed to ensuring College policies are followed.

On Thu, Sep 4, 2014 at 1:22 PM, Christine Payne <chpaync@rrc.ca> wrote:

Good afternoon:

Please see the media inquiry below. Your advice is appreciated.