2017 Municipal Election Survey 2017 – Edmonton –

Thank you for participating in the Canadian Taxpayers Federation's election survey. Please provide your responses to Colin Craig (ccraig@taxpayer.com) by September 29 (end of day). Results will be posted at Taxpayer.com, sent to the media and emailed to our supporters.

| Name: _BEATRICE GHETTUBA Signature: | |
|---|--------|
| Will you introduce a motion (or support one tabled by a colleague) to scan and post council expense receipts online? (Just as the province does for MLA expenses) Comment: YES. MY DONATIONS TO DATE ARE MAINLY FROM REGULAR TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO | YES |
| FORKS | |
| 2) Will you protect taxpayers from additional financial risk and excessive costs by introducing a motion (or support one tabled by a colleague) to place new city employees in a less costly pension plan? (Defined contribution) | No |
| Comment: NO: EMPLOYEES SHOULD BE TREATED EQUALLY. WHAT IS NEEDEDIS NEW MECHANISMS TO CONTRIBUTION BY THE EMPLOYER OR HOW THE UNFUNDED ITEMS IN THE PENSION ASSESTS SHOULD NOT FALL SOLELY ON THE EMPLOYER BUT SHARED WITH THE UNIONS | NO |
| 3) Will you vote to freeze or reduce city employee salaries – just as many employees in Edmonton's business sector received during the recession? | YES |
| Comment: YES I WILL VOTE FOR SALARIES FREEZE. TOO HIGH AS IT IS ALREADY | |
| 4) Will you introduce a motion (or support one tabled by a colleague) to cap property tax increases at inflation? (Statistics Canada's CPI for Edmonton) | YES |
| Comment: YES . I HAVE HEARD LOUD AND CLEAR AT THE DOORS, PEOPLE ARE UNHAPPY. THE ECONOMY HAS NOT PERFORMED WELL IN THE LAST 4 YEARS. TAXATION CANNOT BE LEVIED AS IF ALL IS WELL. A CAP IS A MUST | |
| 5) Will you support a motion to halt spending on public art until the city's unemployment rate is below the national average? | YES/NO |
| Comment: I WILL SUPPORT A REDUCTION BY 50% IN ADDITION TO REVIEW TO UNDERTAKE ONLY MISSION CRITICAL SPENDING IN PUBLIC ART. | |
| 6) Do you support reviewing city services and exploring activities that could be contracted out to save taxpayers money? | YES |
| Comment: YES I WILL SUPPORT THAT IN SO LONG AS BEST PRACTISES ARE FOLLOWED. | |
| 7) Will you proactively disclose a list of those who contributed over \$250 to your campaign (and the amount) prior to the October 16 vote? | YES |

DONORS WHO RQSTED NOT TO BE DISCLOSED BECAUSE OF POSSIBLE REPRISAL FROM THEIR POLITICAL AFFILLIATION. I WOULD LIKE TO RESPECT THAT.

