

Here is how the response should have looked. This column – “Other Remuneration” – was missing.

ANNEX B

Salary	Honorarium	Salary + Honoraria	Other Remuneration
\$247,100		\$247,100	?
\$98,308	\$134,834	\$233,142	?
\$197,650	\$0	\$197,650	?
\$110,000	\$70,000	\$180,000	?
\$180,000	\$0	\$180,000	?
\$173,154	\$800	\$173,954	?
\$120,000	\$37,000	\$157,000	?
\$117,480	\$36,745	\$154,225	?
\$149,830	\$1,800	\$151,630	?
\$145,698		\$145,698	?
\$99,431	\$44,000	\$143,431	?
\$140,508		\$140,508	?
\$138,850		\$138,850	?
\$138,258		\$138,258	?
\$137,014		\$137,014	?
\$135,923		\$135,923	?
\$127,154	\$2,000	\$129,154	?
\$128,407		\$128,407	?
\$68,326	\$58,100	\$126,426	?
\$126,030		\$126,030	\$48,200
\$117,924	\$6,233	\$124,157	?
\$123,710		\$123,710	?
\$120,258		\$120,258	?
\$114,798	\$3,600	\$118,398	?
\$117,806		\$117,806	?
\$73,588	\$43,000	\$116,588	?
\$99,610	\$13,500	\$113,110	?
\$112,194		\$112,194	?
\$112,000	\$0	\$112,000	?
\$110,376		\$110,376	?
\$109,000		\$109,000	?
\$57,115	\$51,306	\$108,421	?
	\$106,350	\$106,350	?
\$105,315	\$0	\$105,315	?
\$89,111	\$15,074	\$104,185	?
\$103,183	\$0	\$103,183	?
\$100,691	\$1,050	\$101,741	?
\$101,712		\$101,712	?
\$101,125		\$101,125	?
\$100,001		\$100,001	?
\$98,926		\$98,926	?
\$98,725		\$98,725	?
\$98,604	\$0	\$98,604	?
\$90,878	\$7,500	\$98,378	?
\$78,000	\$20,066	\$98,066	?
\$82,818	\$15,000	\$97,818	?
\$96,000		\$96,000	?
\$95,711		\$95,711	?
	\$94,858	\$94,858	?
\$93,272		\$93,272	?
\$92,769		\$92,769	?

Leaked documents provided to the CTF from band members on the Peguis Reserve, Piapot Reserve, Enoch Reserve and Crane River Reserve show Chiefs and Councillors often receive payments categorized as “other”. For example, the Peguis Reserve’s Chief received \$126,030 in Salary and Honoraria and another \$48,200 in “other remuneration” in 2008/09.

Disclosing “other remuneration” could push several other pay levels above the average Premier’s after tax threshold (\$109,893).